

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/524,849	03/14/2000	Esme M. Taylor	853.02	8171
8685 759	90 05/16/2006		EXAMINER	
DERGOSITS & NOAH LLP FOUR EMBARCADERO CENTER, SUITE 1450 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111			NGUYEN, CHAU T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SANTRANCIS	CO, C/1 94111		2176	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/524,849	TAYLOR, ESME M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chau Nguyen	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was realiure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commur  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11,31-50,71-74 and 79-90</u> is/are	pending in the applicat	ion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11,31-50,71-74 and 79-90</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-18	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau	-	ir received in this National Stay	je			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	, , , , ,	t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	`			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,			

Art Unit: 2176

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Amendment, received on 02/27/2006, has been entered. Claims 1-2, 4-11, 31-

50, 71-74 and 79-90 are presented for examination.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 3, 12-30, 51-70, and 75-78 are canceled from further consideration

pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected, there being no allowable

generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on

02/27/2006.

3. Applicant's election of group I, claims 1-2, 4-11, 31-50, 71-74 and adding new

claims 79-90 in the reply filed on 02/26/2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did

not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction

requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP §

818.03(a)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2176

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2)

of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 32-38 and 73-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being

anticipated by Lambert et al. (Lambert), US Patent No. 6,374,241.

6. As to independent claims 32 and 73-74, Lambert discloses a method for

marketing and selling sponsorship of a directory listing within a system that displays

directory listings in response to search criteria submitted by a user, the method

comprising:

presenting a listing to the user based on the search criteria (Figs. 13&14 and col.

9, line 57 - col.10, line 11: Fig. 13 shows a user interface screen for user to perform a

data query or search for specified categories/criteria, and Fig. 13 shows a plurality of

listings based on the user's search); and

transmitting listee information, sponsor information, and framing information to

the user for use in generating a display, in response to a user selection of the listing

(Fig. 15 and col. 10, lines 12-17: when the user selects listing "Shoe-Custom Made" on

Fig. 14, Fig. 15 displays the selected listing "Shoe-Custom Made" and sponsors such as

"Hanger Prosthetics & Orthotics" and "Pedi-Mac Shoe Company" which are active and

browseable sponsors web page on the same page as the sponsoree listing).

Art Unit: 2176

7. As to dependent claim 33, Lambert discloses wherein the listee information includes contact information related to the listee (Fig. 15).

- 8. As to dependent claim 34, Lambert discloses wherein the sponsor information includes a Universal Resource Indicator (URI) (Fig. 15).
- 9. As to dependent claim 35, Lambert discloses wherein the sponsor information includes a web page (Fig. 15).
- 10. As to dependent claim 36, Lambert discloses wherein the sponsor information includes multi-media objects (Advertisements include multimedia objects are well-known in the art).
- 11. As to dependent claim 37, Lambert discloses wherein the framing information frames the listee information in a web page and the sponsor information in an HTML frame (Fig. 15).
- 12. As to dependent claim 38, Lambert discloses wherein sponsor information may be navigated by the user (Fig. 15).

Art Unit: 2176

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

14. Claims 1-2, 71-72 and 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Lambert et al. (Lambert), US Patent No. 6,374,241 and further in

view of Verma, US Patent No. 6,243,750.

15. As to independent claims 1, 71-72, 79 and dependent claim 80, Lambert

discloses a method for marketing and selling sponsorship of a directory listing within a

system that displays directory listings in response to search criteria submitted by a user,

the method comprising:

presenting a plurality of listings to the user based on the search criteria (Figs.

13&14 and col. 9, line 57 - col.10, line 11: Fig. 13 shows a user interface screen for

user to perform a data query or search for specified categories/criteria, and Fig. 13

shows a plurality of listings based on the user's search);

displaying the selected listing as a sponsoree listing and a designated active and

browseable sponsor web page of a sponsor on the same page as the sponsoree listing

in response to a user selection of one of the listings (Fig. 15 and col. 10, lines 12-17:

when the user selects listing "Shoe-Custom Made" on Fig. 14, Fig. 15 displays the selected listing "Shoe-Custom Made" and sponsors such as "Hanger Prosthetics & Orthotics" and "Pedi-Mac Shoe Company" which are active and browseable sponsors web page on the same page as the sponsoree listing); and

enabling the sponsoree associated with the sponsoree listing to replace the sponsor web page with any other web page selected by the sponsoree upon payment of consideration by the sponsoree (Figs. 16-22 and col. 10, lines 18-51: advertiser (sponsoree) updates/changes a business listing information by advertiser based on types of payments such as Platinum (\$80/month), Gold (\$45/month), Silver (\$20/month) and/or Basic (\$5/month).

However, Lambert does not explicitly disclose wherein no further browsing is required to see a content of the web page. Verma discloses advertisement 411 for bicycle roof racks including text "This Roof Rack on Sale Today SKU-NZD735 Price: 59.99 Dollars" (col. 4, lines 47-67 and Fig. 4). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Verma and Lambert to include no requirement to browse the web page to see its content since the web page itself already contains enough information that a user or searcher can comprehend, and if the user or searcher wants to know more about the advertisement, he/she can always click on the advertisement to see further details.

16. As to dependent claims 2 and 81, Lambert and Verma disclose displaying the plurality of results on the single page, with no sponsors and displaying step comprises,

Art Unit: 2176

when a user selects a single result from the plurality of results, subsequently displaying the selected result with the sponsor web page (Lambert, Figs. 14-15).

- 17. Claims 4-10, 31, 82-88 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lambert and Verma as discussed in claims 1-2, 71-72 and 79-81 above and further in view of Ramaswamy, US Patent No. 6,338,085.
- 18. As to dependent claims 4 and 82, Lambert and Verma, however do not explicitly disclose wherein the listings displayed in said presenting step do not include a telephone number. Ramaswamy discloses a telephone activated web server providing a user interface for searching or requesting a certain information, and in response to the user's request, displaying a list of search result to the user, then the user selects one of the results such as "Good Eats Restaurant", the associated hypertext reference "GetRemote(17)" will be executed at the web server 100, the function GetRemote 360 accesses the database to determine the location of the reference identifier is shown as a telephone number followed by a file name "menu.html", the GetRemote function dial the telephone number and requests an upload of the file named "menu.html" (col. 3, line 6 – col. 5, line 17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Ramaswamy and Lambert and Verma to include wherein the listings displayed in said presenting step do not include a telephone number so the user does not have to use a phone to call but instead, the user can call directly from the Internet, thus it's more convenient to user.

Art Unit: 2176

19. As to dependent claims 5 and 83, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy

(Lambert-Verma-Ramaswamy) disclose wherein, in said displaying step, the sponsoree

listing is displayed with a "call now button" that automatically connects the user with a

selected listee (Ramaswamy, col. 3, line 6 – col. 5, line 17).

20. As to dependent claims 6 and 84, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose

wherein a call using the call now button is free to the user (It would have been obvious

to one of ordinary skill in the art to acknowledge that if the phone number is 1-800

number, then the call should be free for the user or if it is not a 1-800 number, then

some party must pay for it).

21. As to dependent claims 7 and 85, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose

wherein the call may be charged to one of the following: the selected listee, the sponsor

of the selected listee, or another sponsor (It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art to acknowledge that if the phone number is 1-800 number, then the call

should be free for the user or if it is not a 1-800 number, then some party must pay for

it).

22. As to dependent claims 8 and 86, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose

playing an advertisement to the user prior to connecting the user with the selected listee

(It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the acknowledge that

Art Unit: 2176

playing an advertisement to the user prior to connecting the user with the selected listee for payment scheme such that when the user clicks on the ads, the advertiser pays for the user's access the ads, and these can be seen on CNN, Yahoo, MSN web sites, etc.,...).

- 23. As to dependent claims 9 and 87, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose playing an advertisement to the listee prior to connecting the user with the selected listee (It's obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the acknowledge that playing an advertisement to the user prior to connecting the user with the selected listee for payment scheme such that when the user clicks on the ads, the advertiser pays for the user's access the ads, and these can be seen on CNN, Yahoo, MSN web sites, etc.,...).
- 24. As to dependent claims 10 and 88, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose displaying a licensee's data if the user connected to the directory from a licensee (Lambert, Fig. 22).
- 25. As to dependent claims 31 and 90, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy disclose wherein sponsor information may be navigated by the user (Lambert, Figs 16-18).

Art Unit: 2176

26. Claims 31 and 90 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Lambert, Verma, and Ramaswamy as discussed in claims 4-10, 31, 82-88 and 9

above and further in view of Gupta et al. (Gupta), US Patent No. 6,487,538.

27. As to dependent claims 11 and 89, Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy

however do not explicitly disclose tracking the user for data mining purposes. Gupta

disclose internet advertising scheme bases the advertisement on the input from the

user, i.e., if a search for baby books were made on a search engine such as Yahoo, the

web host for Yahoo may display advertisements relating to baby merchandise such as

strollers and high chairs (col. 4, lines 45-65). It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of

Gupta and Lambert and Verma and Ramaswamy to include tracking the user for data

mining purposes. Gupta suggests that by tracking the user such based on

demographics and/or user's interest so the right advertisement will be delivered to the

user.

28. Claims 39-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Lambert as applied to claims 32-38 and 73-74 above, and further in view of Feezell, et

al. (Feezell), US Patent No. 6,256,189.

29. As to dependent claim 39, Lambert however does not explicitly disclose

determining if a backer paying a higher impression price to sponsor the listee

information wishes to sponsor the directory listing; and bumping the sponsor from sponsorship of the directory listing in favor of the backer paying a higher impression prices. Feezell discloses a method and system for advertising time slot transactions by offering to sell a time slot, receiving time slot bids and transfers the ownership of a time slot when a bid meets or exceeds the terms of the time slot's offer to sell (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Feezell and Lambert to include determining if a backer paying a higher impression price to sponsor the listee information wishes to sponsor the directory listing; and bumping the sponsor from sponsorship of the directory listing in favor of the backer paying a higher impression prices in order to provide trading time slots more efficient and economical.

- 30. As to dependent claim 40, Lambert and Feezell disclose communicating accounting logic to bill the sponsor based upon impression criteria (Feezell, col. 2, lines 26-39).
- 31. As to dependent claim 41, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the impression criteria includes the number of times the sponsor information is displayed (Feezell, col. 5, line 62 col. 6, line 13).
- 32. As to dependent claim 42, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the impression criteria includes a billing period of time Feezell, col. 2, lines 26-39).

Art Unit: 2176

33. As to dependent claim 43, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the accounting logic provides a basis for which incentives are provided to the user (Feezell, col. 8, line 28 – col. 9, line 7).

- 34. As to dependent claim 45, Lambert and Feezell disclose a sponsor searching the directory listings to determine a category of listee available for sponsorship (Feezell, col. 6, line 66 col. 7, line 13).
- 35. As to dependent claim 46, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the category of listee available for sponsorship includes at least one of zip code, city, user data, demographic, keyword, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC), or individual directory listing (Lambert, Fig. 15).
- 36. As to dependent claim 47, Lambert and Feezell disclose providing a price quote to the sponsor of the category of listee available for sponsor ship (Feezell, col. 5, lines 10-37).
- 37. As to dependent claim 48, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the price quote is based upon the number of times the sponsor information is displayed (Feezell, col. 5, lines 10-37).

Page 13

Application/Control Number: 09/524,849

Art Unit: 2176

38. As to dependent claim 49, Lambert and Feezell disclose wherein the price quote

is based upon a billing period of time (Feezell, col. 5, lines 10-37).

39. As to dependent claim 50, Lambert and Feezell disclose the sponsor entering an

auction with other bidders if the sponsor declines the price quote (Feezell, Abstract).

40. As to dependent claim 44, Lambert however does not explicitly disclose

transmitting call connection logic to the user to display a call connection option with a

potential sponsor may communicate with the system. Ramaswamy discloses a

telephone activated web server providing a user interface for searching or requesting a

certain information, and in response to the user's request, displaying a list of search

result to the user, then the user selects one of the results such as "Good Eats

Restaurant", the associated hypertext reference "GetRemote(17)" will be executed at

the web server 100, the function GetRemote 360 accesses the database to determine

the location of the reference identifier is shown as a telephone number followed by a file

name "menu.html", the GetRemote function dial the telephone number and requests an

upload of the file named "menu.html" (col. 3, line 6 – col. 5, line 17). It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine

the teachings of Ramaswamy and Lambert include disclose transmitting call connection

logic to the user to display a call connection option with a potential sponsor may

communicate with the system and thus the user can call directly from the Internet, and

it's more convenient to user.

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chau Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

4092. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:30

pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached at (571) 272-4136.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306. On July 15, 2005, the Central Facsimile (FAX) Number will

change from 703-872-9306 to 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chau Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2176

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER